

Inner Melbourne Action Plan

'Making Melbourne More Liveable'



DRAFT Minutes

Inner Melbourne Action Plan Implementation Committee

Meeting No 32
8.00 am – 10.00 am Friday 29 November 2013
City of Stonnington
Council Chamber, Malvern Town Hall

Attendance Committee Members	<p>Cr Adrian Stubbs, Mayor, City of Stonnington (Chair)</p> <p>Cr Ken Ong, Chair Future Melbourne (Planning) Committee, City of Melbourne</p> <p>Cr Geoff Barbour, Acting Mayor, City of Yarra</p> <p>Cr Amanda Stevens, Mayor, City of Port Phillip</p> <p>Cr Grant Miles, Mayor, Maribyrnong City Council</p> <p>Mr Warren Roberts, Chief Executive Officer, City of Stonnington</p> <p>Mr Geoff Lawler, Director City Planning & Infrastructure, City of Melbourne</p> <p>Ms Vijaya Vaidyanath, Chief Executive Officer, City of Yarra</p> <p>Ms Tracey Slatter, Chief Executive Officer, City of Port Phillip</p> <p>Mr Vince Haining, Chief Executive Officer, Maribyrnong City Council</p>
Associate Partner Representatives	<p>Mr Adrian Salmon, Assistant Director Statutory Approvals, State Planning Services, DTPLI</p> <p>Ms Deborah Chemke, Senior Policy Advisor, Urban Renewal Planning, Trans.Integ.Div, DTPLI</p> <p>Mr Rod Anderson, Strategy and Partnerships Regional Manager- Port Phillip, DEPI</p> <p>Ms Patricia Liew, Regional Director, Metropolitan NW Region, VicRoads DTPLI</p>
IMAP	<p>Elissa McElroy, IMAP Executive Officer</p>
Guests	<p>Ms Brigid Adams, IWCM (Integrated Water Cycle Management) Project Manager, OLV</p> <p>Mr Ken Mathers, Chief Executive Officer, Linking Melbourne Authority</p> <p>Ms Jenni Forrester, Communications, Linking Melbourne Authority</p> <p>Ms Gail Hall, Project Coordinator Urban Landscapes, CoM</p> <p>Ms Julie Francis, Growing Green Guide Project Officer, CoM</p> <p>Mr Barry McGuren, Manager Tourism Melbourne, CoM</p> <p>Cr John McMorro, City of Stonnington</p> <p>Mr Clem Newton-Brown, MP Prahran</p> <p>Mr Ian McLauchlan, Manager Transport and Traffic CoS</p> <p>IMAP Champions</p> <p>Ms Sandra Wade, Manager City Strategy, CoPP</p> <p>Mr Morris Bellamy, Manager Strategic Marketing, Communications & Advocacy, MCC</p>

PRELIMINARIES

Gerry Battaglia, GIS Coordinator, City of Stonnington demonstrated the new IMAP Geographic Information System located under the Resources section of the IMAP Website. He noted the site is accessible by the public for general GIS information. IMAP project information layers are accessible to the IMAP partner Councils via a Council password.

1.	<p>Appointment of Chair</p> <p>1.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to appoint Cr Adrian Stubbs as the Chair of the Meeting.</p> <p>MOVED MR HAINING / Cr Stevens A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>
2.	<p>Apologies and Introductions</p> <p>The Chairman welcomed the new members of the Committee. All present introduced themselves.</p> <p>2.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to note the following apologies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Cr Jackie Fristacky, Mayor, City of Yarra. · Mr Jay Meek, Director Melbourne Central VGBO, Dept of State Development, Business & Innovation · Ms Claire Ferres-Miles, Manager Urban Renewal Planning, DTPLI <p>MOVED MR ROBERTS / Cr Miles A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>
3.	<p>Members Interest</p> <p>Disclosure by members of any conflict of interest in accordance with s.79 of the Act.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - None noted

ITEMS

4.	<p>Confirmation of Minutes</p> <p>4.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to confirm the minutes of the IMAP Implementation Committee No. 31 held on 30 August 2013</p> <p>MOVED MR LAWLER / Ms Vaidyanath A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>
5.	<p>Confirmation of Minutes</p> <p>5.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to confirm the minutes of the IMAP Executive Forum No. 13 held on 18 November 2013</p> <p>MOVED MR ROBERTS / Mr Lawler A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>
6.	<p>Business Arising</p> <p>6.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to note the actions undertaken in response to business arising from the previous minutes.</p> <p>MOVED MS VAIDYANATH / Cr Miles A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p> <p><u>Questions / Discussion</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Executive Officer noted the invitation from DTPLI for IMAP representatives to present to the Interdepartmental Cycling Committee - she attended with Mr Geoff Robinson, Engineering Services Manager, City of Melbourne and gave an overview of IMAP work in the transport area and on prioritising cycling routes and coordination. Mr Robinson encouraged coordination across state and local government to ensure limited funding was spent in the right places to develop cycling corridors extending outwards across municipal boundaries. Ms McElroy noted that Claire Ferres-Miles was across all the state and IMAP cycling committees and that this connection should help reduce duplication of effort and provide coordination. Ms Chemke, DTPLI advised that the presentation to the Interdepartmental Cycling Committee was well received and IMAP representatives would likely be invited back to provide updates.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Executive Officer drew the Committee's attention to the UWS 2013 Partnership Award that is being awarded to IMAP for work on developing the Community Land Trust Manual. Mr Lawler acknowledged the significant contribution of the City of Port Phillip, and Gary Spivak, in this achievement. <p><u>Correspondence:</u></p> <p>Outwards Correspondence Letter Ian Dodds, CEO PTV – invitation to become an associate committee member Email Vince Haining, CEO Maribyrnong City Council – information on Distributed Energy project costs Email Olivia Blake, Office of Living Victoria – invitation to present to IMAP</p> <p>Inwards Correspondence Letter Megan Bourke-O'Neil, Executive Director, Transport Integration Division, DTPLI – invitation to present to the Interdepartmental Cycling Committee Memo Director Infrastructure Services, City of Yarra – re coordinated application of Melbourne Forest strategy Letter Liz Nairn, Unit Manager Strategic Planning, Moreland City Council re plan changes Letter Dr Paul Rowland, Interim Pro Vice Chancellor, University of Western Sydney re UWS 2013 Partnership Award Email Kylie Silvey, OLV confirming Brigid Adams attending IMAP</p> <p><i>Action: Executive Officer to pass on the IMAP Committee's acknowledgement to the City of Port Phillip's contribution towards the UWS partnership award.</i></p>
7.	<p>IMAP Communication and Governance</p> <p>7.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to note the Communications and Governance Briefing Paper</p> <p>MOVED MR HAINING / Cr Miles A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>
8.	<p>Financial Report</p> <p>The Executive Officer noted that a couple of projects were drawing to a close which would increase expenditure in December and January.</p> <p>8.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to receive the IMAP Financial Report for the three months ending 30 September 2013.</p> <p>MOVED MR ROBERTS / Mr Haining A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>
9.	<p>Progress Report</p> <p>9.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to note the IMAP Progress Report for November 2013.</p> <p>MOVED CR STEVENS / Cr Miles A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>
10.	<p>Office of Living Victoria – Melbourne's Water Future</p> <p>Ms Brigid Adams, Integrated Water Cycle Management Project Manager, Office of Living Victoria attended for this item and gave a PowerPoint presentation. She noted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All IMAP councils are in the Inner Region OLV aim to reduce the need for augmentation of supply – avoid the development of another desal plant Developed Melbourne's Water Future – which will lead to the development of regional plans Looking for smarter use of all water - if Victoria does well there are significant \$ savings by 2050 <p>(Cr Ken Ong arrived at 8.25am)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> OLV acknowledged IMAP's work on open space, greening buildings etc OLV have identified key development segments in the inner region Need to support and enhance Councils Structure Plans which will include water saving and management strategies Whole of Water Cycle Management (WoWCM) is the way Melbourne is moving There are some really good examples of what is already happening at the Zoo, MCG, Fed Square,

	<p>Birrarung Marr, CH2 and RC Hospital sustainable design features.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$50M fund is available to community groups and councils – round 2 will occur before Christmas • Risk and Opportunities: may get investment from insurers etc once reduce flood risks in certain areas e.g. opportunity to link tri-generation at local scale. These systems take a lot of water to run, so it is important to use recycled rather than potable water. • Sustainable building 6 star rated features are often turned off once established as they are difficult to operate and maintain – then requiring water authorities to provide additional unplanned capacity. Who bears the risk of this system failure? • Ideas from the Casey Clyde pilot project could be applied elsewhere – what is enough amenity, and how do you measure it? beneficiaries are the health department, insurers etc. <p>Where to from here?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Control Group established of MDs and CEOs – first meeting is the 13 December. • Aim to develop a baseline for 'business as usual'. • Develop model and cost alternative options. Some have already been done in the Structure Plans and Water Authority strategies. Need to establish linkages to ensure good value for money, by developing an integrated approach. • Reviewing building regulations and the Planning Scheme at OLV; taking a facilitator and enabler role. • Aim to finalise the Regional Plan in mid to late 2014. <p><u>Questions / Discussion</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noted that the planned completion of the regional plan in 2014 seemed ambitious – more time spent would achieve a better outcome. This view was noted. • Query regarding reference to additional desal plants. Ms Adams advised the modelling indicated the need for 2 more to be constructed in the planning period to 2050 and efforts were being taken to defer this requirement through these other measures. • Query regarding the population increase in the modelling and whether this was understated. Mr Salmon noted the population projections stated are the Government's current policy position which underlie Plan Melbourne and the general view across the State Government. Projections arise from the planning department's analysis of ASB figures. Recent views that it could grow at a greater rate have not yet been accepted. <p>The Chair thanked Ms Adams for attending.</p>
11.	<p>Linking Melbourne Authority (LMA) – Project Update</p> <p>Mr Ken Mathers, Chief Executive Officer of LMA attended for this item. Ms Jenni Forrester, Communications, LMA was also in attendance. Mr Mathers took the Committee through a PowerPoint presentation of the E-W link development.</p> <p>Some of the key points that Mr Mathers noted are summarised below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LMA is a statutory authority – formerly managing the East West Link • Government is totally committed to the entire E-W link development. Due to the size of the project, it has to be completed in stages. Currently LMA is focussing on the planning and procurement for the section connecting with the port (Footscray Rd) and the connection to the Eastern freeway, and looking at the interchange with Hoddle Street in particular. • There are significant benefits arising from the project – a lot of traffic on the East Link are trying to avoid the CBD and move through to the west. Melbourne is ahead of Sydney and Brisbane with superior road networks to those cities; greater traffic delays experienced in those cities. The project provides an alternative to the M1 – every day there is an incident on the Monash now. Provides opportunities for Public Transport in particular (e.g. DART bus to/from Doncaster and N/S tram routes). • Travel time benefits include a projected 20min decrease in morning peak from the Eastern freeway and reduction in vehicles on a number of cross streets. • The project is on public exhibition for another 12 days. The Business case has not been made public due to the significant sensitive information on commercial aspects of the project. It is likely the business case will be released once the project is past the bidding process. • More extensive geotechnical work has been undertaken than for the Burnley tunnel – have learnt from that experience. • A significant impact on properties is acknowledged. Fair value will be offered for compensation. LMA are aware it is a sensitive issue and it is being handled by experienced staff with property acquisition backgrounds. • The road will be a toll road – sent out to a separate tender. We are learning from NSW examples which

	<p>have failed commercially – even though the community has received a great asset. The government will borrow money to cover the costs of the project. The State will make significant contributions during construction and after the development. The cost of debt to the private sector developer will be repaid.</p> <p><u>Questions / Discussion</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will the traffic modelling be available to Councils? – Yes • Will it embrace traffic impacts to the surrounding networks? – Yes • The proposed road feeds into Footscray Rd/Ormond Road – what is the understanding of the traffic implications for the west? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ A: A lot of traffic is destined for the port. Some use Dynon Rd and Footscray Road to the west. The State Government cannot fund the entire project at once. Want to start at the eastern end. Not sure of the impacts this will have on the western end, however experience indicates that once a project is started, the government always completes it. The rest of the development is expected to come on stream once it is started. • There is still the missing link between the western ring road and the eastern freeway – what is the thinking regarding this? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ A: It is a decision of the state government to prioritise projects. The link is expensive as most will need to be in a tunnel. Developments try to identify corridors to minimize impacts. A lot of development is happening in that area. The current E-W project route connects through with minimised property impacts – have achieved that. Connection to the north is needed but is up to the State Government. • How is the Bolte Bridge going to handle the projected traffic numbers? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ A: the project puts traffic through to the north as well as the south. Enhancements on Westgate changed its traffic capacity. The way Melbourne has grown is significant and now roads are congested in the morning – the E-W link project will be an outstanding alternative once the whole 18km are done. Will continue to monitor. There is a total imbalance of where the commercial traffic goes currently and changes to this will reduce the impact on the Bolte route. <p>The Chair noted we were out of time and thanked Mr Mathers for his attendance.</p>
12.	<p>Action 11 Regional Tourism – Review of 2012-13</p> <p>Barry McGuren, Manager Tourism Melbourne attended for this item. He noted the project team had an interesting year, enhanced by having Maribyrnong on board for the first time. The Skybus pilot project was found to not be a great success; however it helped focus attention on the need to engage with the airlines bringing people to Melbourne and for more influence at Southern Cross station informing visitors about Melbourne. Changes to the visitor map continue to be worked through.</p> <p><u>Questions / Discussion</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Query regarding whether the working group are considering a smart phone app of the map? Mr McGuren advised that their consultant had shown them that it did not work well electronically in its current format. Some user testing is required to find out what information people want to have included in the map layers. • Cr Stevens advised there had been changes to bike locations. Also, queried whether IMAP could partner with other apps available for the area?. Mr McGuren advised there were a large number available and the IMAP team would have to look at where they have the best leverage. • Cr Ong asked how the group would connect with the airline arrivals?. Mr McGuren noted the firm engaged for the Skybus campaign would assist in brokering a deal with a number of airlines – or via Tourism Victoria. • Mr Lawler asked if the Bike Share Scheme data that VicRoads has for the review could be shared with the tourism group and used to promote the scheme further?. Ms Liew indicated they were happy to share the information. VicRoads have evaluated the existing scheme on where usage is high and low. Found a lot of people don't know the Bike Share scheme exists or that users can get an App for the iPhone to assist. She noted that anything that helps promote the scheme is helpful. <p>12.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to note the continuing work of the IMAP Tourism Working Group.</p> <p>MOVED MS SLATTER / Cr Barbour</p> <p>A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p> <p><i>Action: IMAP Tourism group to consider use of the VicRoads Bike Share scheme data and promotion of the scheme.</i></p>

13.	<p>Action 9.4 Green Demonstration Projects – Growing Green Guide</p> <p>Gail Hall, Project Team Leader and Julie Francis, Project Officer attended for this item. Ms Hall tabled five A3 copies of the Feasibility study booklet – one per Council, which puts forward 4 proposals and indicative costs to create green roofs, walls and facades on selected public buildings and one Public Private Partnership option. Ms Hall advised how the final 4 sites had been chosen and noted further development was now up to the individual councils to take forward.</p> <p>Ms Hall also displayed a copy of the draft Technical Guide currently out for comment. Ms Hall noted the project team had received 50 comments on the Technical Guide, had 20,000 hits on the website and overwhelmingly positive feedback on the Guide. 100 members of the public had also attended an information session 2 weeks ago. The Guidelines will now go to peer review and final edits.</p> <p>Feedback on the Policy Options Paper had just been completed and final changes were being made to that document.</p> <p>The project will be finalised at the end of February. Staff contracts would be completed and a formal launch of the project documents was planned prior to this occurring.</p> <p>In the absence of an IMAP Committee meeting before then, Ms Hall noted the need to seek final sign off and endorsement of the project documents electronically prior to the next meeting. The Executive Officer noted the Operational Policy made provision for this.</p> <p>13.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolve to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Agree to undertake the approval process for the three final reports of the Growing Green Guide for Melbourne project via email, prior to a public launch in February. Provide any feedback on the draft guidelines to the Growing Green Guide project team by December 16, 2013. Note the progress of the Growing Green Guide for Melbourne and the intended launch of Victoria's Guide to Green Roofs, Walls and Facades in February 2014; Note that the Australian Research Council Linkage green roofs project funded by IMAP, Melbourne Water and the University of Melbourne will commence shortly, following finalisation of legal agreements. <p>MOVED CR STEVENS / Mr Lawler A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>
14.	<p>IMAP Three Year Implementation Plan</p> <p>The Executive Officer noted that the plan put forward for approval was based on current expenditure and projects for the next 3 years, as it was not possible to predict changes that will be brought about by the review of the IMAP. An additional \$25K had been included in next years expenditure to assist with the plan review costs.</p> <p>Projects which have not commenced have been identified in the report. If they do not go ahead, the funding could be reallocated to projects in the new plan. She suggested they could come back to the Executive Forum for review.</p> <p><u>Questions / Discussion</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ms Slatter asked if \$25K will be sufficient for the IMAP review? · Mr Lawler suggested: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The review of IMAP needs to commence soon after Christmas. ○ Councils shouldn't presume it will be totally funded by IMAP - there will be individual Council work and Councils must make budget provision for this. ○ We need discussion on timing and for the 5 councils to work out a process, interaction with the MPA and how it will work. ○ It will be a whole-of-next-calendar-year activity. <p>He had no problem agreeing the funding amount in the IMAP budget but noted Councils should be aware they will need to budget more.</p> <p>14.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> note this update on progress on the Inner Melbourne Action Plan; and approve the updated IMAP Three Year Implementation Plan; and approve the request for each of the IMAP Partner Councils to make provision for funding in

	<p>the 2014-15 budget as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IMAP Annual Contribution \$35,000 – per Council in line with the current year - IMAP Annual Tourism Contribution \$20,000 – per Council in line with the current years - IMAP Share of Operational Costs -To be advised, estimated at \$35,000 per Council (Total \$90,000 per IMAP Council) <p>MOVED MR HAINING / Cr Ong A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p> <p><i>Action: Executive Officer to advise IMAP Councils of the budget requirements for next year.</i></p>
--	--

CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS

The public and Associate Members were excluded for this item.

<p>Procedural Motions:</p> <p>That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to proceed into Confidential Business and the meeting be closed to the public as the matter to be considered falls within the ambit of Section 89 (2)(d) Contractual Matters, and Section 89(2)(h) (any matter which the Council or Special Committee considers would prejudice the Council or any person) of the Local Government Act 1989 (Time: 9.50am)</p> <p>MOVED MS VAIDYANATH / Mr Lawler A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p> <p>That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves that the meeting be re-opened to the public (Time: 10.04am)</p> <p>MOVED MR ROBERTS / Cr Miles A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>
--

OTHER BUSINESS

18.	<p>Any other business – none noted.</p> <p>The meeting closed at 10.05am.</p> <p>Next Meeting Friday 29 February 2014 (8.00am) Maribyrnong City Council</p>
-----	--

IMAP Implementation Committee Meeting 29 November 2013 – Endorsement of Minutes

Chairperson: Cr Adrian Stubbs _____ Date _____

RESOLUTIONS

1.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to appoint Cr Adrian Stubbs as the Chair of the Meeting.
2.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to note the following apologies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Cr Jackie Fristacky, Mayor, City of Yarra. o Mr Jay Meek, Director Melbourne Central VGBO, Dept of State Development, Business & Innovation o Ms Claire Ferres-Miles, Manager Urban Renewal Planning, DTPLI
4.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to confirm the minutes of the IMAP Implementation Committee No. 31 held on 30 August 2013
5.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to confirm the minutes of the IMAP Executive Forum No. 13 held on 18 November 2013
6.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to note the actions undertaken in response to business arising from the previous minutes
7.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to note the Communications and Governance Briefing Paper
8.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to receive the IMAP Financial Report for the three months ending 30 September 2013.
9.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to note the IMAP Progress Report for November 2013.
12.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to note the continuing work of the IMAP Tourism Working Group.
13.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolve to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Agree to undertake the approval process for the three final reports of the Growing Green Guide for Melbourne project via email, prior to a public launch in February. b. Provide any feedback on the draft guidelines to the Growing Green Guide project team by December 16, 2013. c. Note the progress of the Growing Green Guide for Melbourne and the intended launch of Victoria's Guide to Green Roofs, Walls and Facades in February 2014; d. Note that the Australian Research Council Linkage green roofs project funded by IMAP, Melbourne Water and the University of Melbourne will commence shortly, following finalisation of legal agreements.
14.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. note this update on progress on the Inner Melbourne Action Plan; and b. approve the updated IMAP Three Year Implementation Plan; and c. approve the request for each of the IMAP Partner Councils to make provision for funding in the 2014-15 budget as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IMAP Annual Contribution \$35,000 – per Council in line with the current year - IMAP Annual Tourism Contribution \$20,000 – per Council in line with the current years - IMAP Share of Operational Costs -To be advised, estimated at \$35,000 per Council <p>(Total \$90,000 per IMAP Council)</p> <p>Procedural Motions: That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to proceed into Confidential Business and the meeting be closed to the public as the matter to be considered falls within the ambit of Section 89 (2)(d) Contractual Matters, and Section 89(2)(h) (any matter which the Council or Special Committee considers would prejudice the Council or any person) of the Local Government Act 1989 (Time: 9.50am)</p> <p>That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves that the meeting be re-opened to the public (Time: 10.04am)</p>

ACTIONS PUBLIC RECORD

Item	Responsibility	Action	Due
6. Business Arising	Executive Officer	Executive Officer to pass on the IMAP Committee's acknowledgement to the City of Port Phillip's contribution towards the UWS partnership award.	Feb 2014
12 Action 11	IMAP Tourism group	IMAP Tourism group to consider use of the VicRoads Bike Share scheme data and promotion of the scheme.	Feb 2014
13 3 Yr plan	Executive Officer	Executive Officer to advise IMAP Councils of the budget requirements for next year.	Dec 2013