



Inner Melbourne Action Plan
'Making Melbourne More Liveable'



Minutes

Inner Melbourne Action Plan Implementation Committee

Meeting No 24

8.00 am – 10.00 am Friday 18 November 2011

City of Stonnington

Council Chamber, Malvern Town Hall

Attendees	<p>Cr Melina Sehr , Mayor, City of Stonnington (Chair)</p> <p>Cr Ken Ong, Chair Future Melbourne (Planning) Committee, City of Melbourne</p> <p>Cr Alison Clarke, Mayor, City of Yarra</p> <p>Cr Rachel Powning, Mayor, City of Port Phillip</p> <p>Mr Warren Roberts, Chief Executive Officer, City of Stonnington</p> <p>Dr Andi Diamond, Chief Executive Officer, City of Yarra</p> <p>Ms Kay Rundle, Chief Executive Officer, City of Port Phillip</p> <p>Mr Geoff Lawler, Director City Planning & Infrastructure, City of Melbourne</p>
Associate Partner Representatives	<p>Mr Adrian Salmon, Assistant Director Statutory Approvals, State Planning Services DPCD</p> <p>Ms Adele McCarthy, Director Central City Transport, Department of Transport</p> <p>Mr Nial Finegan, Director NW Region, VicRoads</p> <p>Mr Vince Haining, Chief Executive Officer, City of Maribyrnong</p>
IMAP	<p>Elissa McElroy, IMAP Executive Officer</p> <p>Alexandra Moloney, IMAP Student Placement Officer</p>
Guests	<p>Geoff Ovens, Manager Environment and Public Spaces, CoS</p> <p>Karen Watson, Executive Manager Sustainable Futures, CoS</p> <p>Gary Spivak, Housing Development Officer, CoPP</p> <p>Cr Claude Ullin, Councillor, CoS (for part of the meeting)</p>

PRELIMINARIES

1.	<p>Appointment of Chair</p> <p>1.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to appoint Cr Melina Sehr as the Chair of the meeting.</p> <p>MOVED Cr ONG/ Mr Roberts A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>
2	<p>Apologies and Confirmation of Agenda</p> <p>2.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolve to note the following apologies:</p> <p>Mr Rod Anderson, Group Manager - Climate Change & Sustainability Services, DSE</p> <p>Cr Sarah Carter, Mayor, City of Maribyrnong</p> <p>Mr Tony Pensabene, Director Policy & Research, Policy & Coordination, DBI</p> <p>Ms Connie Gibbons, General Manager Social Development, CoS</p> <p>Mr Ian McLauchlan, Manager Transport and Parking, CoS</p> <p>Mr Bruce Phillips, Director City Development, CoY</p>

	<p>MOVED Cr CLARKE/ Ms Rundle A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p> <p>Confirmation of Agenda - Late item: The Executive Officer requested that the Committee consider an additional item on the agenda - Item 18, to be considered along with the confidential items due to the contractual nature of the business: Inner Melbourne Map License agreement with Destination Melbourne Ltd. She noted the matter had been circulated by email to Committee members prior to the meeting.</p> <p>The additional item was accepted by the Chair.</p>
3.	<p>Suspension of City of Melbourne's Local Law No. 1 Conduct of Meetings (No 1 of 2001)</p> <p>3.1 That pursuant to Division 6, clause 14 of the Melbourne City Council's Conduct of Meetings Local Law 2001, the provisions of the Local Law be suspended for the duration of the meeting of the Inner Melbourne Action Plan Implementation Committee.</p> <p>MOVED Cr CLARKE/ Cr Powning A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>
4.	<p>Members Interest Disclosure by members of any conflict of interest in accordance with s.79 of the Act.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kay Rundle noted a conflict of interest in relation to new item 18 [License agreement with DML] due to her role as a Board Member of Destination Melbourne Ltd (DML). She advised she would leave the meeting early due to a Board commitment.

ITEMS

5.	<p>Confirmation of Minutes <u>Minutes of the IMAP Implementation Committee No 23 held on 26 August 2011</u></p> <p>Cr Clarke asked for a couple of corrections to reflect her late arrival at the meeting and request for minutes amendment (not Cr Powning) – Preliminary item and item 6</p> <p>Adele McCarthy asked that item 12 be amended to reflect her response to Cr Sehr regarding use of the rail corridors as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Agreement with the importance of quality cycling facilities through this area - DOT is not opposing the use of the rail corridor, but would emphasise importance of focusing on value for money in cycling investment - Noted complexities and physical constraints which may make this a relatively high cost proposal - Land may be required for future rail development but potential to use for cycling in the interim <p>5.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to confirm the minutes of the IMAP Implementation Committee held on 26 August 2011 as amended.</p> <p>MOVED Cr CLARKE/ Cr Ong A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p> <p><i>Nial Finegan arrived at 8.10am</i></p> <p><i>Action: Minutes of the IMAP Implementation meeting of 26 August 2011 to be amended as noted.</i></p>
6.	<p>Confirmation of Minutes <u>IMAP Executive Forum meeting held on 18 October 2011</u></p> <p>6.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to confirm the minutes of the IMAP Executive Forum No 12 held on 18 October 2011</p> <p>MOVED Ms RUNDLE/ Mr Roberts A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>

7.

Business Arising

In relation to business arising, the Executive Officer advised that:

- Correspondence had been received from Adele McCarthy DoT in relation to the use of rail corridors following the last meeting (Item H/12) – this has been circulated to Committee members for information.
- In terms of following up with the office of local government (Item C on Business Arising) and meeting with ministers (Item G), IMAP representatives met with the Minister for Local Government, Hon Jeanette Powell on 14 November to discuss the IMAP model.
- Copies of the documents received with the correspondence have been photocopied – one for each Council.
 - The Executive Officer distributed the full Booz Report on the Greenlight Project and the DoT Wayfinding Signs Guide to the 5 council executive officers present.
 - The Executive Summary of the Booz Report was also made available to all committee members for reference.

Meeting with Minister for Local Government

Mr Lawler reported on the meeting with the Minister for Local Government, her Chief of Staff, James Lantry and John Watson, DPCD which he attended with Cr Sehr, Mayor of the City of Stonnington, and the IMAP Executive Officer. The aim of the meeting was:

- To advise the Minister on IMAP and its successes
- To see if Government would consider a change to the LGA for joint Council committees under s.86.

Key points of the discussion were:

- A legislative change is clearly considered a last resort, however the meeting conceded a change would make it easier.
- The Minister's interest in shared services was apparent, and while IMAP is not strictly about this aspect, there was some discussion on how this could be achieved.
- Government officers queried whether contractual arrangements or company structures could achieve the same thing and noted this could be explored further at an officer's meeting

Outcome was that John Watson, Executive Director DPCD would come back to us. Mr Lawler undertook to chase this up, noting that, with amendments to the LGA underway at present, it may be some time before more changes are considered.

WSUD Plan Change

In relation to Item H /8 Adrian Salmon reported to the Committee that he had discussed the WSUD plan change briefly with Ms Monk and the Minister's office. The general response is that:

- these controls should be administered through building controls rather than planning schemes
- the Minister is reluctant to undertake further controls in this area due to the position taken by the Building Commission
- the Department tends to go for a minimum standard approach rather than consider an aspirational standard.
- various arguments have been put together in the last 2 months but little progress has been made.

Mr Salmon suggested IMAP write directly to the Minister urging the Minister to come on board with this plan change. Cr Powning noted her support for this approach and noted building controls were last reviewed 12 months ago.

Geoff Lawler conceded that the plan change request still had a way to go, and felt that before the position was finally determined it would be handy to regroup by having the IMAP working group and Melbourne Water have another look at this to see if there was another way to progress this.

7.1 Following discussion, the IMAP Implementation Committee resolved to:

- a. **Check** with Melbourne Water to determine that the WSUD Plan change is still considered the best way forward; then
- b. **Write** to the Minister for Planning explaining the background to the amendment and its desirability, requesting that it be given favourable consideration, noting Melbourne Water's position and the letter of support received from the Minister of Water and offering to meet with the Minister to discuss.

MOVED Mr LAWLER/ Cr Powning

A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED

7.2 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to **note** the actions undertaken in response to business arising from previous minutes.

	<p>MOVED Mr ROBERTS/ Cr Clarke A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p> <p><u>Correspondence:</u> INWARDS</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Letter from Gary Liddle, Acting Secretary Dept of Transport regarding the Final Evaluations Report on the IMAP Greenlight Project – dated 7/10/11 2. Letter from Emma Nicolson, Project Manager Dept of Transport regarding the Guide to Developing Pedestrian Wayfinding – dated 31/10/11 3. Email from Neil Hutchinson regarding conference paper promoting Greenlight Project findings – dated 4/11/11 4. Letter from Adele McCarthy, DoT regarding principal bike network and rail corridors report and north south cycling routes in the south east region – dated 18/10/2011 <p>Actions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Change references to DIIRD - Geoff Lawler to follow up John Watson, Executive Director, DPCD regarding outcomes following the meeting with Minister Powell. - Executive Officer to discuss current position with Action 9.3 Project Team and Melbourne Water - Executive Officer to draft letter to Minister of Planning regarding support for WSUD
8.	<p>Financial Report</p> <p>The Executive Officer noted that revenue had been received from 3 of the 4 partner councils in the quarter with the reminder received in October. Spending had not occurred as planned however a number of the new projects had now defined briefs and were due to commit funds.</p> <p>8.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to receive the IMAP Financial Report for the quarter ending 30 September 2011.</p> <p>MOVED Mr ROBERTS/ Dr Diamond A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>
9.	<p>Progress Report</p> <p>Cr Clarke referred to the coroner's report on a recent cyclist fatality and asked that this report and its comments on cycle routes be raised with the Bicycle Network working group (Action 2.5) to note.</p> <p>9.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to note the IMAP Progress Report for November 2011.</p> <p>MOVED Cr SEHR/ Mr Roberts A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p> <p>Action: Executive Officer to pass on information regarding the coroner's report to the Action 2.5 Bicycle Network working group</p>
10.	<p>IMAP Communication and Governance</p> <p>The Executive Officer reminded the Committee about the IMAP Student Placement Showcase to be held on December 2nd as part of Melbourne Knowledge Week.</p> <p>10.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to note this Communications and Governance Briefing Paper</p> <p>MOVED Dr DIAMOND/ Cr Ong A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>
11.	<p>Action 9.4 Green Demonstration projects – Water Sensitive Cities Report</p> <p>Geoff Ovens, CoS attended for this item. Mr Ovens advised the report was to raise consciousness of water sensitive cities and the move from a water supply approach to a water reuse city approach. He illustrated the concept through work undertaken at</p>

	<p>Forrest Hill. Geoff Ovens noted some attenuation value from this approach at Forrest Hill affecting the maximum flooding rates and reducing the 1:100 year flood to possibly a 1:150 years event.</p> <p>Comments/Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> this was an important aspect to look at as the drainage infrastructure is at its maximum capacity. sometimes additional new development using this approach can actually reduce pressure on infrastructure. CoPP looking at this for Fishermans Bend. it is disappointing that we are so backward in our approaches; that this type of benefit shouldn't have to be negotiated with developers – that we cannot make developers take the environmental option. Does the desalination plant raise another aspect to this and put in place some controls? WSUD aims to store water, clean water and reuse water – the latter being an additional benefit if water is cleaned up first. <p>Warren Roberts advised the Committee about his recent trip to England. For major flooding issues, the UK reduce the need for infrastructure by requiring new developments to manage their runoff within the site. Developers buy tracks of land as a flood overlay/storage area. He also saw great examples of green roofs and how they work effectively. Australia is falling behind; accepted practice and controls here are out of step.</p> <p>Geoff Lawler noted the current government had appointed a committee chaired by Bob Skinner looking at these issues.</p> <p>Kay Rundle noted this can be achieved but only with willing developers who recognise the approach is attractive to willing purchasers.</p> <p>11.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Note the report prepared for Action 9.4 regarding Water Sensitive Cities Circulate the report to other Councils for information Include the report with correspondence to the Minister for Planning and the Minister for Water regarding WSUD issues <p>MOVED Ms RUNDLE / Cr Clarke A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p> <p>Cr Clarke noted interest in finding out more about experiences overseas. Mr Roberts will consider doing a presentation of his trip to the next IMAP meeting</p> <p><i>Action: Executive Officer to circulate the Water Sensitive Cities report to other Councils and include in correspondence to the Ministers for Planning and Water. W Roberts to present to next meeting of IMAP – 24 February 2012</i></p>
12.	<p>Action 5.4 and 5.5 Social Infrastructure and Services/Infrastructure development</p> <p>Karen Watson, CoS attended for this item and noted the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IMAP Councils are proposing joint initiatives regarding advocacy over Office of Housing developments Inconsistencies exist at the moment e.g. master planning undertaken only on a single site, no real understanding of infrastructure needs Aim for 4 councils to have a consistent message Proposal is to get background work done to assist with this <p><u>Comments/Discussion</u></p> <p>Cr Sehr noted public housing developments are contentious:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> master plan exercise only caused more questions, tokenistic consultation for an 8 storey building by Prahran pool, removing essential trees and open space Selling off assets that will never get back, breaking the deal they had at the time housing first developed to provide POS, Never have enough public housing Real issue hidden of selling public land for private developer profit Minister getting different feedback from staff than what has been instructed. <p>Cr Clarke felt Councils are presented with a fait d'accompli, dense development, open space compromised, heat island effect worsened.</p> <p>Dr Diamond noted the redevelopment is an opportunity to improve existing housing stock, but consultation in</p>

	<p>Yarra is broad and vague, a fascinating process where no real feedback can be given.</p> <p>12.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to approve the attached Consultant brief for the first stage of this project and confirm the budget of \$40K for this work to be commenced in the 2011/12 financial year.</p> <p>MOVED Cr CLARKE / Dr Diamond A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>										
13.	<p>8.55am Kay Rundle left the meeting</p> <p>Action 3.5 Reduced through traffic</p> <p>The Executive Officer noted Ian McLauchlan's apology for being unavailable to present this item. The Executive Officer advised that the project draft was available to advise the Committee of the proposed work so that it could be tendered before the new year. She advised that the working group were meeting next week to finalise it.</p> <p>The Committee asked that they be provided with an update by the Project Team at the February meeting</p> <p>13.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Note the progress report on Action 3.5 Approve the draft project brief for this project so that it can progress Approve the budget allocation of \$50K in 2011/12 and \$30K in 2012/13 for this work to proceed. <p>MOVED Mr ROBERTS / Cr Sehr A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p> <p><i>Action: Executive Officer to place update on Action 3.5 on the February agenda</i></p>										
14.	<p>IMAP Three Year Implementation Plan</p> <p>The Executive Officer advised that the Three Year Implementation Plan largely rolled over the plan put in place in December 2010 following the IMAP Review. She anticipated some slippage in spending which would extend into year 3 of the program and felt this needed to be monitored for another year before further tasks were prioritised. Points to note were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> an increase in the partner Councils annual contribution as previously forecast; and Maribyrnong to make a funding contribution toward projects once these had been approved by that council. <p><u>Comments/Discussion:</u></p> <p>Mr Lawler encouraged Maribyrnong to finalise their position and contributions and start their participation in projects. Mr Haining advised they were likely to make their decisions about which initiatives to participate in before the next IMAP Implementation Committee meeting.</p> <p>The Executive Officer was asked to note which projects will have grown with the inclusion of Maribyrnong funding.</p> <p>14.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Note this update on progress on the Inner Melbourne Action Plan, and Approve the updated IMAP Three Year Implementation Plan, and Approve the request for each of the IMAP Partner Councils to make provision for funding of the following in the 2012/13 budget: <table> <tr> <td>· IMAP Annual Contribution</td><td>\$35,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>· IMAP Annual Tourism Contribution</td><td>\$20,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>· IMAP Distributed Energy project</td><td>\$20,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>· IMAP Green Guide Project funding top-up</td><td>\$20,000 (CoS and CoY only)</td></tr> <tr> <td>· IMAP Share of Operational Costs</td><td>TBA estimated \$45,000 per council</td></tr> </table> <p>MOVED Cr CLARKE / Mr Lawler A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p> <p><i>Action: Executive officer to liaise with CEO CoMaribyrnong and update financial plan with Maribyrnong contributions Executive officer to advise IMAP councils of budget requirements for 2012/13</i></p>	· IMAP Annual Contribution	\$35,000	· IMAP Annual Tourism Contribution	\$20,000	· IMAP Distributed Energy project	\$20,000	· IMAP Green Guide Project funding top-up	\$20,000 (CoS and CoY only)	· IMAP Share of Operational Costs	TBA estimated \$45,000 per council
· IMAP Annual Contribution	\$35,000										
· IMAP Annual Tourism Contribution	\$20,000										
· IMAP Distributed Energy project	\$20,000										
· IMAP Green Guide Project funding top-up	\$20,000 (CoS and CoY only)										
· IMAP Share of Operational Costs	TBA estimated \$45,000 per council										

15.

Action 6.3 Conflicts in Activity Centres

Bruce Phillips CoY was unable to attend for this item. Karen Watson, CoS from the project team commented as follows:

- The report reviews progress that has occurred to date across the 4 councils
- Each Council has taken on the work of the Working Group and utilised it in different ways, reflecting the diversity of their communities.
- There has been progress in this space – assessing cumulative impact is taken more seriously as an issue and the tools are now utilised.
- CoM has set controls on its entertainment precinct, CoS has taken a saturation assessment approach, CoY's successful VCAT decision has upheld its regulatory approach, and CoPP research is currently being undertaken.
- At officer level councils continue to work together on this issue and good networks are in place.
- At CoS, there has been opposition from DPCD to the CoS plan change – the "must" wording is opposed although research of planning schemes indicates it does occur. The matter is in a void between officers and those giving the Minister advice. "Limited to" is an alternative wording under consideration.

Discussion

Cr Sehr commented:

- "fluffy wording" to get approval will be vague and lead to officers defending Council's position at VCAT. The plan change has police support to help deal with issues at Chapel Street.

Andi Diamond commented:

- Whether the IMAP Implementation committee could engage at a senior level with the DOJ and Vic police in regards to these issues impacting IMAP councils on a daily/nightly basis.
- CoY have had two reviews of this local law.

Karen Watson commented:

- Suggestion from DPCD that the appropriate mechanism would be to build into regulations with the DoJ. Ms Watson noted a change can be made faster through planning schemes than through legislation – ensuring that as premises close new ones don't open

Vince Haining commented:

- Might be worthwhile writing to the Minister for Crime Prevention - this is another avenue into the government on this matter.

Geoff Lawler and Ken Ong jointly spoke about the CoM model:

CoM decided the solution was through the Planning scheme, they are very supportive of the "freeze" continuing, and have liquor licensing and planning enforcement staff working together to bring the big, existing problems into line.

- Local laws officers working with police.
- Police being able to enforce local laws e.g. stopping people drinking in public.
- Fines come through to the municipality

IMAP proposed a deputation present to the Minister of Consumer Affairs, Secretary of the DoJ and Director of Liquor Licensing as the committee doesn't believe they are engaged in the process. Deputation would include representatives from the Working Party, Councillor Ong and Dr Andi Diamond.

Warren Roberts requested:

- Thanks are passed along to the working group.
- Encourage the group to keep meeting on common issues and broader safety issues

15.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to

- **Note** the ongoing work being undertaken by the IMAP Councils and the Department of Justice to address conflicts in Activity Centres;
- **Note** this final report on Action 6.3;
- **Thank** the Action 6.3 Working Group and encourage members to continue to meet on the issues;
- **Send** a deputation to meet with the Hon Michael O'Brien - Minister for Consumer Affairs, Penny Armytage – Secretary, Department of Justice and Mr Mark Brennan - Director of Liquor Licensing to discuss current liquor related issues and their engagement with the process; the deputation to consist of Cr Ong, Dr Andi Diamond and representatives of the IMAP Working Group

	<p>MOVED Mr ROBERTS / Cr Ong A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p> <p><i>Action: Executive Officer to</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · <i>Pass on the thanks from the committee to the Action 6.3 Working Group and encourage them to continue to meet over issues</i> · <i>Arrange deputation to meet with Hon Michael O'Brien - Minister for Consumer Affairs, Penny Armytage – Secretary, Department of Justice and Mr. Mark Brennan - Director of Liquor Licensing</i>
16.	<p>CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS</p> <p>Procedural Motion:</p> <p>16.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to proceed into Confidential Business and the meeting be closed to the public as the matter to be considered falls within the ambit of</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Section 89 (2) (d) Contractual Matters and · Section 89(2)(h) any other matter which the Council or special committee considers would prejudice the Council or any person, of the Local Government Act 1989 (9.25am) <p>MOVED Cr CLARKE / Cr Powning A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p> <p>The Public were excluded for this part of the meeting.</p> <p>Procedural Motion:</p> <p>16.2 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves that the meeting be re-opened to the public (9.45am)</p> <p>MOVED Cr POWNING / Ms Rundle A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>

OTHER BUSINESS

18.	<p>Other Business There was no other business</p> <p>Confirmed - Next Meeting Friday 24 February 2012 (8.00am) City of Port Phillip</p> <p>The Chair wished all members the best for the forthcoming mayoral elections and the festive season.</p> <p>Close Meeting closed at 9.48 am</p>
-----	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

IMAP Implementation Committee Meeting 26 August 2011 – Endorsement of Minutes

Chairperson: Cr Melina Sehr _____ Date _____

RESOLUTIONS

- 1.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to **appoint** Cr Melina Sehr as the Chair of the meeting.
- 2.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolve to **note** the following apologies:
Mr Rod Anderson, Group Manager - Climate Change & Sustainability Services, DSE
Cr Sarah Carter, Mayor, City of Maribyrnong
Mr Tony Pensabene, Director Policy & Research, Policy & Coordination, DBI
Ms Connie Gibbons, General Manager Social development, CoS
Mr Ian McLauchlan, Manager Transport and Parking, CoS
Mr Bruce Phillips, Director City Development, CoY
- 3.1 That pursuant to Division 6, clause 14 of the Melbourne City Council's Conduct of Meetings Local Law 2001, the provisions of the Local Law be **suspended** for the duration of the meeting of the Inner Melbourne Action Plan Implementation Committee.
- 5.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to **confirm** the minutes of the IMAP Implementation Committee held on 26 August 2011 as amended.
- 6.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to **confirm** the minutes of the IMAP Executive Forum No 12 held on 18 October 2011
- 7.1 Following discussion, the IMAP Implementation Committee resolved to:
 - a. Check with Melbourne Water to determine that the WSUD Plan change is still considered the best way forward; then
 - b. Write to the Minister for Planning explaining the background to the amendment and its desirability, requesting that it be given favourable consideration, noting Melbourne Water's position and the letter of support received from the Minister of Water and offering to meet with the Minister to discuss.
- 7.2 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to **note** the actions undertaken in response to business arising from previous minutes.
- 8.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to **receive** the IMAP Financial Report for the quarter ending 30 September 2011.
- 9.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to **note** the IMAP Progress Report for November 2011.
- 10.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to **note** this Communications and Governance Briefing Paper
- 11.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to
 - a. **Note** the report prepared for Action 9.4 regarding Water Sensitive Cities
 - b. **Circulate** the report to other Councils for information
 - c. **Include** the report with correspondence to the Minister for Planning and the Minister for Water regarding WSUD issues
- 12.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to **approve** the attached Consultant brief for the first stage of this project and confirm the budget of \$40K for this work to be commenced in the 2011/12 financial year.
- 13.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to
 - a. Note the progress report on Action 3.5
 - b. Approve the draft project brief for this project so that it can progress
 - c. Approve the budget allocation of \$50K in 2011/12 and \$30K in 2012/13 for this work to proceed.
- 14.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to
 - d. **Note** this update on progress on the Inner Melbourne Action Plan, and
 - e. **Approve** the updated IMAP Three Year Implementation Plan, and
 - f. **Approve** the request for each of the IMAP Partner Councils to make provision for funding of the following in the 2012/13 budget:

· IMAP Annual Contribution	\$35,000
· IMAP Annual Tourism Contribution	\$20,000
· IMAP Distributed Energy project	\$20,000
· IMAP Green Guide Project funding top-up	\$20,000 (CoS and CoY only)
· IMAP Share of Operational Costs	TBA estimated \$45,000 per council
- 15.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to
 - a. **Note** the ongoing work being undertaken by the IMAP Councils and the Department of Justice to address conflicts in Activity Centres;
 - b. **Note** this final report on Action 6.3;
 - c. **Thank** the Action 6.3 Working Group and encourage members to continue to meet on the issues;
 - d. **Send** a deputation to meet with the Hon Michael O'Brien - Minister for Consumer Affairs, Penny Armytage – Secretary, Department of Justice and Mr Mark Brennan - Director of Liquor Licensing to discuss current liquor related issues and their engagement with the process; the deputation to consist of Cr Ong, Dr Andi Diamond and representatives of the IMAP Working Group
- 16.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to proceed into Confidential Business and the meeting be **closed to the public** as the matter to be considered falls within the ambit of
 - Section 89 (2) (d) Contractual Matters and
 - Section 89(2)(h) any other matter which the Council or special committee considers would prejudice the Council or any person,of the Local Government Act 1989 (9.25am)
- 16.2 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves that the meeting be **re-opened to the public (9.45am)**

ACTIONS PUBLIC RECORD

	Responsibility	Action	Due
5	IMAP Executive Officer	Minutes of the IMAP Implementation meeting of 26 August 2011 to be amended as noted.	December 2011
7	IMAP Executive Officer	Change references to DIIRD in Business Arising	February 2012
	G Lawler, Director City Planning & Infrastructure CoM	Geoff Lawler to follow up John Watson, Executive Director, DPCD regarding outcomes following the meeting with Minister Powell.	February 2012
	IMAP Executive Officer	Executive Officer to discuss current WSUD position with Action 9.3 Project Team and Melbourne Water	December 2011
		Executive Officer to draft letter to Minister of Planning regarding support for WSUD	December 2011
9	IMAP Executive Officer	Executive Officer to pass on information regarding the coroner's report to the Bicycle Network working group	December 2011
11	IMAP Executive Officer	Executive Officer to circulate the Water Sensitive Cities report to other Councils and include in correspondence to the Ministers for Planning and Water.	Circulate: December 2011 February 2012
	Warren Roberts, CEO, CoS	W Roberts to present to next meeting of IMAP – 24 February 2012	February 2012
13	IMAP Executive Officer	Executive Officer to place update on Action 3.5 on the February agenda	February 2012
14	IMAP Executive Officer	Executive officer to liaise with CEO CoMaribyrnong and update financial plan with Maribyrnong contributions	January 2012
		Executive officer to advise IMAP councils of budget requirements for 2012/13	January 2012
15	IMAP Executive Officer	Pass on the thanks from the committee to the Action 6.3 Working Group and encourage them to continue to meet over issues	December 2011
		Arrange deputation to meet with Hon Michael O'Brien - Minister for Consumer Affairs, Penny Armytage – Secretary, Department of Justice and Mr. Mark Brennan - Director of Liquor Licensing	January 2012