

Inner Melbourne Action Plan

'Making Melbourne More Liveable'



Minutes

Inner Melbourne Action Plan Implementation Committee

Meeting No 34

8.00 am – 10.00 am Friday 30 May 2014

City of Port Phillip

Council Chamber, St Kilda Town Hall

Attendance Committee Members	<p>Cr Amanda Stevens, Mayor, City of Port Phillip (Chair)</p> <p>Cr Grant Miles, Mayor, Maribyrnong City Council</p> <p>Cr Ken Ong, Chair Future Melbourne (Planning) Committee, City of Melbourne</p> <p>Cr Adrian Stubbs, Mayor, City of Stonnington</p> <p>Mr Geoff Lawler, Director City Planning & Infrastructure, City of Melbourne</p> <p>Ms Vijaya Vaidyanath, Chief Executive Officer, City of Yarra</p> <p>Ms Tracey Slatter, Chief Executive Officer, City of Port Phillip</p> <p>Mr Vince Haining, Chief Executive Officer, Maribyrnong City Council</p> <p>Mr Warren Roberts, Chief Executive Officer, City of Stonnington</p>
Associate Partner Representatives	<p>Mr Adrian Salmon, Assistant Director Statutory Approvals, State Planning Services, DTPLI</p> <p>Mr Sasha Yarwood, Senior Project Manager, Transport Integration Div. DTPLI <i>for Rebecca Collins</i></p> <p>Ms Patricia Liew, Regional Director, Metropolitan NW Region, VicRoads DTPLI</p> <p>Ms Elise Coughlin, Acting Director Melbourne Central VGBO, DSDBI <i>for Jay Meek (arrived late)</i></p> <p>Ms Jane Monk, Director Inner City, Metropolitan Planning Authority <i>(arrived late)</i></p>
IMAP	<p>Elissa McElroy, IMAP Executive Officer</p>
Guests	<p>Mr Ian McLauchlan, Traffic and Parking Manager, City of Stonnington</p> <p>Mr Tom Haysom, Sustainable Transport Planner, City of Stonnington</p> <p>Mr Ross Goddard, City Traffic Engineer, City of Melbourne – <i>for Andrew Cron</i></p> <p>Mr Steven McKellar, Sustainable Design Officer, City of Port Phillip</p>
	<p><i>IMAP Champions</i></p> <p>Ms Katrina Terjung, Coordinator Strategic Planning, City of Port Phillip</p> <p>Mr Robert Wolff, Senior Economic Development Officer, City of Stonnington – <i>for Melissa Rathje</i></p>

PRELIMINARIES

1.	<p>Appointment of Chair</p> <p>1.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to appoint Cr Amanda Stevens as the Chair of the Meeting.</p> <p>MOVED MR ROBERTS / Cr Stubbs</p> <p>A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>
-----------	---

2.	<p>Apologies and Introductions</p> <p>2.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to note the following apologies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Cr Jackie Fristacky, Mayor, City of Yarra. - Mr Rod Anderson, Strategy and Partnerships Regional Manager- Port Phillip, DEPI <p>MOVED MS VAIDYANATH / Mr Roberts A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p> <p>Cr Stevens welcomed Mr Sasha Yarwood to the meeting and noted Ms Elise Coughlin and Ms Jane Monk would be attending.</p>
3.	<p>Members Interest</p> <p>Disclosure by members of any conflict of interest in accordance with s.79 of the Act.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - None noted

ITEMS

4.	<p>Confirmation of Minutes</p> <p>4.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to confirm the minutes of the IMAP Implementation Committee No. 33 held on 28 February 2014.</p> <p>MOVED CR STUBBS / Mr Haining A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p> <p>Cr Ong checked that changes to wording he had raised had been incorporated. This was confirmed.</p>
5.	<p>Business Arising</p> <p>Updates: The Executive Officer advised that since the agenda was circulated:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ms Slatter had forwarded a copy of CoPP's correspondence on the Port of Melbourne Capacity project. A copy of the correspondence was circulated for information. This completes this action. • A response had been received from the CEO of the Metropolitan Planning Authority confirming that Ms Jane Monk would be the MPA's representative as an Associate Member of the IMAP Implementation Committee. The correspondence was emailed to members for information prior to the meeting. • The Partnership Award to IMAP from University of Western Sydney was displayed for information. <p>In response to a query from Cr Stubbs, it was clarified that the Through Traffic Report related to item 9 on the agenda.</p> <p>5.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to note the actions undertaken in response to business arising from the previous minutes.</p> <p>MOVED MR ROBERTS / Mr Lawler A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p> <p><u>Correspondence:</u> Inwards 2a David Ryan, General Manager Waterways, Melbourne Water re Flood Strategy for Melbourne 2b Kirsten Coster Director Planning & Economic Development, Moreland City Council re planning amendment 2c Gail Hall, City of Melbourne re conference abstract approval 2d Marcus Spiller, SGS Economics re manufacturing research project Outwards 2e Peter Seamer, CEO, Metropolitan Planning Authority re IMAP associate membership 2f Mark Wild, CEO, Public Transport Victoria re IMAP associate membership Tabled: Email from CEO Metropolitan Planning Authority confirming Jane Monk as MPA representative for IMAP Associate Letter from CEO CoPP to S.Bradford, CEO Port of Melbourne re Port Capacity Project pedestrian/cycle bridge Letter from Mayor CoPP to Hon David Hodggett, MP Minister for Ports re pedestrian & cycle bridge, Webb Dock.</p>

6.	<p>Financial Report</p> <p>6.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to receive the IMAP Financial Report for the nine months ending 31 March 2013.</p> <p>MOVED CR STUBBS / Cr Ong A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>
7.	<p>IMAP Communication and Governance</p> <p><i>(Jane Monk, MPA arrived and joined the Committee)</i></p> <p>The Executive Officer sought advice from the Committee regarding any changes required to the November IMAP Committee meeting date (28 November), as it falls within the caretaker period, one day prior to the State Government election. She noted the draft Inner Melbourne Action Plan and Three year Implementation Plan were due for consideration at this meeting. The Committee agreed to <u>no change</u>, noting a later date in December would still be a challenging period for the State Government with policy positions still being resolved.</p> <p>The Executive Officer circulated a copy of the IMAP map cover. She noted 15,000 copies would be included in the delegate packs for the International AIDS conference in July 2014. The cover had been amended in a special print run to include a link to the concurrent cultural programme of events across the IMAP councils.</p> <p>7.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to note the Communications and Governance Briefing Paper.</p> <p>MOVED CR ONG / Ms Vaidyanath A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>
8.	<p>Progress Report</p> <p><i>(Elise Coughlin, DSDBI arrived and joined the Committee)</i></p> <p>Action 1.4 Boulevards and Major Roads: Cr Ong asked if IMAP has received any information from the State Government on Hoddle Street progress. Mr Lawler noted the only new information is the proposed bus priority lane to take the DART bus to Spring Street. He was unsure on implementation timeframes for this work. The project largely involves CoM and CoY.</p> <p>Ms Lieu offered to arrange a briefing for IMAP from Andrew Williams, DTPLI (E-W link). Mr Yarwood noted individual briefings for CoM and CoY were proposed. Ms Vaidyanath confirmed CoY had already been briefed on the DART bus route.</p> <p>It was agreed the DART bus project is not an action of IMAP so <u>a specific briefing of the Committee is not required.</u></p> <p>Melbourne Bike Share scheme: Ms Lieu advised the Committee that evaluation reports are being finalised following the EOI stage.</p> <p>8.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to note the IMAP Progress Report for May 2014.</p> <p>MOVED MR HAINING / Cr Stevens A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>
9.	<p>Action 3.5 Reduced through traffic (A bound copy of the report had been forwarded to Committee members by separate mail. The Final Report is also available on the IMAP website with the Committee agenda papers.)</p> <p>Mr Ian McLauchlan, Manager Transport & Parking, CoS and Mr Tom Haysom, Sustainable Transport Planner, CoS attended for this item.</p>

Mr McLauchlan advised this was the final report for this project. He noted:

- The project had initial issues scoping what was meant by "Through traffic".
- It aimed to define the nature of through traffic and identify realistic actions to reduce impact.
- Stakeholder workshops and a modelling exercise were undertaken. Modelling was used rather than surveys to utilise existing data. VISTA data was validated by comparison with existing data held by Councils.
- An action plan has been identified at the end of the report (refer pg 64 Actions)
- The IMAP Executive Forum looked at the draft report in November 2013; in the interim the report has been modified to pick up the changes suggested and clarify some of the actions.
- Some actions Councils are already undertaking
- Some actions can be referred to individual Councils
- In terms of the recommendations and the current IMAP Review process, Mr McLauchlan advised the Committee to consider what further actions IMAP should consider for future work.

Questions / Discussion

Cr Stubbs noted that under section 5.1 of the report, the reference to Table 11 should be Table 16.

- Mr Yarwood advised that DTPLI are happy to be included in the key IMAP recommendations listed in the officer's report (IMAP agenda Attachment 6, point 18, 3rd dot point) that states:
"Establish working groups to develop a corridor approach to managing through traffic. These should involve municipalities outside IMAP as well as transport agencies (i.e. VicRoads and PTV)"
- Cr Miles asked whether Ms Lieu agreed with figures for Maribyrnong.
 Ms Lieu noted that the modelling is based on data for all traffic. She thought it will be hard to compare with the origins and destinations study as there are different methods of modelling undertaken and likely to have no direct match.
 Mr McLauchlan advised freight figures are covered in pg. 50 of the Final Report. The study looked at truck movement generally rather than using VISTA survey data. Freight traffic data is held on a different basis. Accordingly, the IMAP Freight Strategy will be a different piece of work.
- Ms Slatter asked if this work and the proposed actions coming out of it address Maribyrnong's issues.
 Mr Haining congratulated the team on the final report. He advised a separate group were going to meet, including VicRoads. They would be bringing together the Web Dock and Port studies with work undertaken by the Maribyrnong CC, VicRoads and others. They are finding that the data is different, and they need to understand the detail of what the studies are indicating. He hoped that the group can look at this and make sense of it, and identified the need to work with the LMA (Linking Melbourne Authority) and Director General of Infrastructure on proposals for the East-West Link commitment – as this is important to Maribyrnong. If the Government's aim is to achieve a bypass for Melbourne as per the Eddington Report, Maribyrnong needs to work with the State Government to understand this proposal. If our technical experts can understand the trends and possibilities in the studies, that will assist. He recommended IMAP accepts the report and awaits the outcome of the separate team looking at this work and incorporating the recommendations in the new IMAP plan.
- Mr Lawler agreed to the recommendations in the report and the addition of reporting the actions to the IMAP review. He suggested deleting the infrastructure Improvements (2nd last point on Pg 66) as the examples are now out of date (leave off the examples only).
- Mr Roberts said we need to ensure we don't lose individual actions in the recommendations of the report and that we refer all actions to the IMAP Review.

9.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to:

- a. Receive the final report on Action 3.5.
- b. Accept the amended study's recommendations pertaining to IMAP.
- c. Note and refer the individual stakeholder recommendations to the relevant authority for action.
- d. Refer further work on freight to officers for development, and assessment of the brief to the next IMAP plan
- e. Refer the key IMAP recommendations of the report to the IMAP Review.

MOVED MR HAINING / Mr Roberts

A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED

The Committee thanked the Project Team for their work on this Action.

	<p>Actions: Action 3.5 project team to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. <i>arrange amendments to the Final Report with JACOBS Consultants (formerly Sinclair Knight Merz Pty Ltd);</i> b. <i>refer actions to the relevant authority and to the IMAP Review process as indicated by the IMAP Committee;</i> c. <i>arrange for a Word version of the Final Report for IMAP records;</i> d. <i>arrange for all data modelling to be supplied by the consultants in the required digital formats to the IMAP Councils and the IMAP Executive Officer for inclusion on the IMAP GIS and website.</i>
10	<p>Action 9.2 Environmental Sustainable Design (ESD) – Commercial Buildings Mr Steven McKellar, Sustainable Design Officer, CoPP attended for this item.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mr McKellar noted the project team had nearly completed an additional 5 Sustainable Design Factsheets in the series. The Factsheets had been developed jointly by the IMAP council staff with professional reviewers in Councils and consultants providing feedback. They are close to being finished. The numbering indicates some are supplementary to the first set of 10 already published. <p>Success of the Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mr McKellar advised 6 Councils (including 3 from IMAP) have proposed Environmentally Efficient Design (EED) policies. The ESD Local Policy Ministerial Advisory Committee process gave a glowing report to this approach. The initial set of 10 IMAP factsheets were referred to as key reference documents. • The local planning policies are very general in terms of expected outcomes. Planning amendments are non-specific as the outcomes are contained in the reference documents. The Advisory Committee agreed with this in principle and urged Councils to consolidate the set. • The 5 new factsheets attached to the agenda are not locked into the policy – so are more advisory in content. Overall it has been a good decision of IMAP to undertake the factsheets as they have held up and supported the local planning policy. <p>Council Alliance for a Sustainable Built Environment (CASBE): Ms Slatter asked, if these are now reference documents, how do we keep them up to date?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mr McKellar noted that CASBE are the best placed body to take control of the factsheets and to ensure they remain consistent for all councils. Agreements are in place where other Councils adopt the factsheets and add their own logos – although this causes some confusion as IMAP council logos are still on the back. • The Working Group are proposing that IMAP change the footer to include the CASBE logo, remove local logos but keep the IMAP reference and the website link on the generic set. CASBE could become the governor of the Factsheets and ensure they remain a consistent set (refer to the example provided in the attachments). Mr McKellar noted CASBE has 10 paying members with each paying \$5K over 3 years, and a number of non-financial members, so is not yet in a strong financial position. The Working Group request that IMAP consider that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ a transfer of the Factsheets does occur, ○ IMAP continues to support the development of the factsheets from a financial perspective, and ○ The Working group report back on any other changes to take place. • Mr McKellar confirmed that Council staff use the factsheets with customers all the time. Staff refer to them as an educational advisory tool if development applications are not reaching the standards preferred. <p><u>Questions / Discussion</u></p> <p>Ms Vaidyanath observed that with IMAP being members of CASBE and part of the 6 Councils proposing the EED policy, IMAP is in effect reinforcing the ESD view. The IMAP Factsheets are becoming a catalyst for the development of sustainable development practices.</p> <p>Mr McKellar said there is a small window of opportunity for Government to sign off the EED policies before the state government election. IMAP could take an advocacy role to bring this to their attention.</p> <p>Ms Monk noted that there is a role for IMAP to encourage the progress of ESD policies, it was a long time coming and it would be good to conclude it and embed the policy position.</p> <p>Ms Slatter noted that there are several things we need to agree on – the IP, budget, logo and role of CASBE. She asked if there is time to have the recommendations formulated in detail and dealt with either at the next meeting or through circulation to members.</p> <p>Mr Roberts said he would like more detail around what is proposed before changing the recognition of IMAP on the back and incurring ongoing costs. For clarification, he asked if IMAP becomes an associate of CASBE through this proposal?</p>

	<p>10.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolve to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Note the progress comments provided on Action 9.2 Request a further report on the role, terms and implications of CASBE managing the factsheets on an ongoing basis (in terms of budget, IMAP intellectual property, use of the IMAP logo, ongoing funding) advocate for the ministerial process approving the EED local planning policies to be completed prior to the forthcoming State Government election. <p>MOVED MS SLATTER/ Cr Ong A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p> <p><i>Action: Action 9.2 Working Group to undertake further investigation on the use of the Factsheets as reference documents and report back on the issues raised to the next IMAP Implementation Committee meeting (29 August 2014)</i></p>
<p>11.</p>	<p>IMAP Plan Review</p> <p>The Executive Officer updated the Committee on progress to date. She noted some slippage in the timeframe due to the delay finalising the appointment of consultants, and indicated the first workshop may now be held in early July.</p> <p>In relation to a query on how the new Plan Melbourne affects the IMAP Review process and the alignment of the plans, Ms McElroy noted that recent changes to Plan Melbourne were being identified and this information would be made available to the IMAP Councils' planning teams.</p> <p>11.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee note the current progress on the IMAP review project.</p> <p>MOVED CR STUBBS / Ms Vaidyanath A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED</p>
<p>12.</p>	<p>Inevitably housing? The future of Inner Melbourne's stocks of industrial land</p> <p>Marcus Spiller, Principal, SGS Economics attended for this item and presented a PowerPoint review of the proposed project. Main points were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> SGS are probing the possibility of setting up an industrial land research project having observed US interest in urban manufacturing resurgence. Interested in establishing a research joint venture, and identifying research partners. Have had preliminary discussions with University of Melbourne regarding funding of policy focussed research. Aim to test the idea about a revival in manufacturing; extract implications for planning controls Knowledge intense services drive our economy, are export focussed, and support a vibrant inner city services environment. Policy dilemmas – are we forgetting what else is happening in the economy e.g. manufacturing , with our focus on the knowledge economy. Used to making cars, smoke stack industries, large factories, unionised workforces. However, what is happening in the US could happen here – the idea of the rise of urban manufacturing, who draw on the same agglomeration – businesses are sophisticated, nimble, shift and evolve, change rapidly, are specialised and work in networks, requiring frequent face to face and flexible networks so not overweighted by overhead costs. Outsourcing is becoming more limited now as businesses are more aware of costs, losing contact with the local community, lost of IP etc. Now see companies like the garment trade in NYC re-establishing close to designers and brokers. Population/customers are becoming more aware of locally made goods. Melbourne University is interested in receiving applications around this subject with a sustainability theme. SGS are trying to put together collaboration between SGS and university researchers, and broker a partnership with IMAP and relevant state government departments and the MPA. <p><u>Questions / Discussion</u></p> <p>Ms Slatter noted industrial zoned land is overly limiting. CoPP industries are mainly located in the capital city zone. A lot of production is going on there. If not careful, we might kill off all this creativity in Fishermans Bend.</p> <p>A: a good observation and in framing the proposal we would need to be clear. Manufacturing also occurring in homes and retail areas. Proposal is to focus on industrial land – so we are more</p>

knowledgeable as rezoning of it comes up.
Ms Monk noted re-zoning is inevitably for housing; does the project lend itself to 3D thinking in the planning regime? – we are very mono in our zoning, and have zoned and built a one-story city. Trying to rethink changes, consider air spaces etc. Ms Monk noted they don't need to be isolated zones e.g. 3D printers can go anywhere.

A: Retaining industrial land is a blunt way to preserve opportunities. Another is to change zoning and create new formations of provisions for the future. Businesses need access to agglomeration economies but can't compete with residential and high end industrials. Do we need to carve out a place for these industries? Need a considerably sophisticated policy instrument to consider this. Project would provide evidence base for decisive action in this area.

Mr Lawler noted this research is incredibly important. City of Melbourne's research group suggested additional detail.

- Given that we are seeking to pick up on overseas phenomenon, what is working and not working overseas.
- Zoning – relationship between knowledge sector and this new economy needs to be made explicit.
- Industrial land can be out of sight and out of mind. Urban manufacturers place themselves in intermediate spaces - would want to ensure what manufacturers want to do can be done without creating noisy/smelly impacts in smaller spaces.
- Size of land also needs to be looked at to see if planning controls allow this.

Mr Haining: agreed an important piece of work. Is this more aligned to development of the subregional plan through the MPA? It is critical for the MPA to get their heads around. Can MPA drive this, with inputs from IMAP rather than IMAP pushing it into that forum?

Ms Slatter saw MPA as an additional partner rather than an alternative.

A: SGS come with a research hat on rather than policy approach. If co-funded by the University, would look for scholarly rigour, publishable research – more that, than coming up with immediate policy solutions, but would still get clear insights from this approach.

Mr Haining: Need it to include Fishermans Bend and areas of Maribyrnong – needs an IMAP focus if we have a significant role. Needs to be applied research rather than academic research for IMAP to fund.

Ms Vaidyanath noted it is important to get alignment. IMAP Plan Review is opportune. Additional partnership initiatives have value. If UniMelb, IMAP and MPA partner in this, we can gain confidence that all requirements have been put into it. It should produce a piece with rigour and. Mixed use zones and the capital city zone are more catholic in their approach. Suggested we add to resolution that this be part of IMAP Plan Review as a new initiative.

Ms Monk noted the MPA has a key role in jobs. Urban manufacturing is an identifiable trend with synergy with the Knowledge Economy. The expanded central city framework planning is already seeing this coming out. The project has synergy with the Central City Subregion work.

Mr Yarwood noted interest - particularly in the transport, freight and logistics synergy that could be added to the study. What do we want to keep to reduce transport implications?

Mr Salmon noted manufacturing needs transport. An increased population with increased land value is expected. Should manufacturing be in the centre? Building a ring road – should urban manufacturing be where the population is?

A: If urban manufacturing is what we think it is, is a small business with less than 20 people, craft based, niche foods, specialist, high tech, creative industries (e.g. in the Abbotsford area 3D printers are being used for manufacturers overseas). Transport is necessary for reliable access to workers and skills, close to accountants, engineers, designers etc. These businesses are in competition for central located land with residential developers. If we let the market do its work, it is likely to squeeze out this sector.

The project is scoped at \$200 - 250K (depending on scope). Carlton Connect may fund half. Looking for research partners to fund the rest. The scope is the Central Subregion - researchers will take some industrial sites out initially and look at only those sites in play that could be considered for this use.

[Mr Spiller left the meeting]

Discussion

The committee noted they would need to:

- Nominate funds and conditions regarding scope and participants.
- Ensure Marcus Spiller met with State Government departments and policy area staff undertaking the Manufacturing Strategy (DSDBI to come back re involvement)
- Agree in principle to develop this, and forming a small working group to work with Marcus Spiller to come up with an agreed scope and budget and partner contributions.

- Meet University requirements and accept that parameter, plus ensure the work is useful to IMAP partners as well i.e. application and academic rigour.
- If the study is of value to State Government and IMAP, could agree to do with or without Melbourne University. If decide to proceed, IMAP can still proceed and undertake a study to the benefit of Government and Councils
- Agree not to commit funds till a subgroup work through it.
- Consider S 86 regarding the Local Government Act contracts and tender process
- Include urban supply chain issues/transport issues in the brief. (Funding contributions from DTPLI could be investigated.)

12.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolve to:

- a. Support in principle the establishment of a Working Group comprising CEOs or their delegates from DSDBI, MPA, City of Yarra, City of Melbourne, City of Port Phillip and City of Stonnington to further explore and negotiate the urban manufacturing research proposal with Mr M Spiller, SGS Economics so the Scope and Objectives of an industrial land research project are relevant to IMAP; and
- b. To report back to the next meeting of the IMAP Implementation Committee with the proposal (or earlier).

MOVED T SLATTER / G Lawler

A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED

Action: Tracey Slatter to convene a working group to explore and negotiate the urban manufacturing research proposal with Mr M Spiller, SGS Economics so the Scope and Objectives of an industrial land research project are relevant to IMAP:

Points to note:

- *Agree scope, budget and partner contributions; applied research rather than purely academic research for IMAP to fund; role & funding by DSDBI, DTPLI, MPA*
- *Focus on industrial land - What is working and not working overseas*
- *Develop evidential base for policy which would enable land to be set aside for this use*
- *Zoning – relationship between knowledge sector and this new economy; 3D thinking in planning regime*
- *Size of land and appropriate locations to minimise nuisance; should urban manufacturing be where the population is?*
- *Liaise with State Government departments and policy area staff undertaking the Manufacturing Strategy*
- *Include urban supply chain issues/transport issues in the brief, to reduce transport implications*

OTHER BUSINESS

13. Census of Land Use and Employment (CLUE)

Mr Lawler noted that the City of Melbourne had been running CLUE for 30 years. It covers all non-residential land in the municipality.

VIC-CLUE's future is no longer certain through State Government budget changes.

Mr Lawler suggested that, with these changes, the Central Subregion Councils may wish to consider the development of an IMAP-wide CLUE initiative, as CLUE develops a foundation of knowledge municipalities won't want to lose. There was general agreement to this approach.

13.1 That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolve to:

- a. establish a working group of the IMAP Councils with City of Melbourne's Manager City Research (Austin Ley) to examine sharing the costs and benefits of establishing an IMAP CLUE; and
- b. bring a proposal back to the IMAP Implementation Committee for consideration.

MOVED G LAWLER / T Slatter

A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED

Action: convene a Working Group of the IMAP Councils with City of Melbourne's Manager City Research (Austin Ley) to examine sharing the costs and benefits of establishing an IMAP CLUE

14. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

In closing the public part of the meeting, the Chair, Cr Stevens acknowledged that this was Vince Haining's last IMAP Implementation Committee meeting before his retirement as CEO of Maribyrnong City Council. She noted he was instrumental in bringing the Maribyrnong City Council into IMAP, thanked him for his contribution and wished him all the best from the Committee.

CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS

The public and Associate Members were excluded for this item.

Procedural Motions:

That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to proceed into Confidential Business and the meeting be **closed** to the public as the matter to be considered falls within the ambit of Section 89 (2) (d) (Contractual Matters) and Section 89 (2) (h) (any other matter which the council or Special Committee considers would prejudice the Council or any person) of the Local Government Act 1989. (Time: 9.50am)

MOVED CR MILES / Cr Stubbs

A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED

Following the confidential business, the meeting closed at 10.20am.

Next Meeting

Friday 30 August 2014 (8.00am) City of Yarra

IMAP Implementation Committee Meeting 30 May 2014 – Endorsement of Minutes

Chairperson: Cr Amanda Stevens



Date 4.9.14

RESOLUTIONS

1.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to appoint Cr Amanda Stevens as the Chair of the Meeting
2.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to note the following apologies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Cr Jackie Fristacky, Mayor, City of Yarra. - Mr Rod Anderson, Strategy and Partnerships Regional Manager- Port Phillip, DEPI
4.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to confirm the minutes of the IMAP Implementation Committee No. 33 held on 28 February 2014.
5.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to note the actions undertaken in response to business arising from the previous minutes.
6.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to receive the IMAP Financial Report for the nine months ending 31 March 2013.
7.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to note the Communications and Governance Briefing Paper.
8.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to note the IMAP Progress Report for February 2014.

9.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to:
a.	Receive the final report on Action 3.5.
b.	Accept the amended study's recommendations pertaining to IMAP.
c.	Note and refer the individual stakeholder recommendations to the relevant authority for action.
d.	Refer further work on freight to officers for development, and assessment of the brief to the next IMAP plan
e.	Refer the key IMAP recommendations of the report to the IMAP Review.
10.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolve to:
a.	Note the progress comments provided on Action 9.2
b.	Request a further report on the role, terms and implications of CASBE managing the factsheets on an ongoing basis (in terms of budget, IMAP Intellectual property, use of the IMAP logo, ongoing funding)
c.	advocate for the ministerial process approving the EED local planning policies to be completed prior to the forthcoming State Government election.
11.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee note the current progress on the IMAP review project.
12.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolve to:
a.	Support in principle the establishment of a Working Group comprising CEOs or their delegates from DSDBI, MPA, City of Yarra, City of Melbourne, City of Port Phillip and City of Stonnington to further explore and negotiate the urban manufacturing research proposal with Mr M Spiller, SGS Economics so the Scope and Objectives of an industrial land research project are relevant to IMAP; and
b.	To report back to the next meeting of the IMAP Implementation Committee with the proposal (or earlier).
13.1	That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolve to:
a.	establish a Working Group of the IMAP Councils with City of Melbourne's Manager City Research (Austin Ley) to examine sharing the costs and benefits of establishing an IMAP CLUE; and
b.	bring a proposal back to the IMAP Implementation Committee for consideration.
Procedural Motions:	
That the IMAP Implementation Committee resolves to proceed into Confidential Business and the meeting be closed to the public as the matter to be considered falls within the ambit of Section 89 (2) (d) (Contractual Matters) and Section 89 (2) (h) (any other matter which the council or Special Committee considers would prejudice the Council or any person) of the Local Government Act 1989. (Time: 9.50am)	

ACTIONS PUBLIC RECORD

Item	Responsibility	Action	Due
9	Action 3.5 project team	Action 3.5 project team to: a. arrange amendments to the Final Report with JACOBS Consultants (formerly Sinclair Knight Merz Pty Ltd); b. refer actions to the relevant authority and to the IMAP Review process as indicated by the IMAP Committee; c. arrange for a Word version of the Final Report for IMAP records; d. arrange for all data modelling to be supplied by the consultants in the required digital formats to the IMAP Councils and the IMAP Executive Officer for inclusion on the IMAP GIS and website. e.	July 2014
10	Action 9.2 Working Group	Action 9.2 Working Group to undertake further investigation on the use of the Factsheets as reference documents and report back on the issues raised to the next IMAP Implementation Committee meeting (29 August 2014)	29 Aug 2014
12	CEOs and representatives of DSDBI, MPA, CoY, CoPP, CoS, CoM	Convene a working group to explore and negotiate the urban manufacturing research proposal with Mr M Spiller, SGS Economics so the Scope and Objectives of an industrial land research project are relevant to IMAP.	ASAP
13	CoM - Manager City Research (Austin Ley)	Convene a Working Group of the IMAP Councils with City of Melbourne's Manager City Research (Austin Ley) to examine sharing the costs and benefits of establishing an IMAP CLUE	ASAP